

# EE 4343/5329 - Control System Design Project

## LECTURE 10

Updated: Thursday, July 20, 2000

---

### STATE-VARIABLE FEEDBACK (SVFB)

The linear state-space equations are given by

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx + Du$$

with  $x(t) \in R^n$  the internal state,  $u(t) \in R^m$  the control input, and  $y(t) \in R^p$  the measured output. Matrix A is the system or plant matrix, B is the control input matrix, C is the output or measurement matrix, and D is the direct feed matrix. The open-loop poles are given by the roots of

$$\Delta(s) = |sI - A|$$

and the open-loop transfer function is given by

$$H(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D.$$

Feedback controllers may be designed using either the state description (A,B,C,D) or the input-output description H(s).

A simple feedback control scheme is to use the outputs to compute the control inputs according to the Proportional (P) feedback law

$$u = -Ky + v$$

where  $v(t)$  is the new external control input. With K the  $m \times p$  proportional feedback gain matrix. Note that K has  $mp$  entries so that there are  $mp$  control loops. This sort of P feedback is sufficient to give the desired closed-loop stability and performance for many systems. This scheme is known as OUTPUT FEEDBACK (OPFB). OPFB design is not easy and we may discuss it later.

A more basic control scheme is to assume that ALL the states are measured as outputs, so that one may use the STATE-VARIABLE FEEDBACK (SVFB) control law

$$u = -Kx + v.$$

Feedback matrix K is  $m \times n$  so that there are now  $mn$  control loops. Note that SVFB is the same as OPFB with  $C = I$  the identity matrix.

Assuming SVFB, the closed-loop system is given as

$$\dot{x} = (A - BK)x + Bv = A_c x + Bv$$

$$y = (C - DK)x + Dv = C_c + Dv$$

where  $A_c$  is the CLOSED-LOOP SYSTEM OR PLANT MATRIX and  $C_c$  is the closed-loop output matrix. The closed-loop poles are given by the roots of

$$\Delta_c(s) = |sI - A_c| = |sI - (A - BK)|$$

and the closed-loop transfer function is given by

$$H(s) = C_c (sI - A_c)^{-1} B + D = (C - DK)[sI - (A - BK)]^{-1} B + D.$$

### **Reachability**

A system is said to be REACHABLE (sometimes called CONTROLLABLE) if the control input  $u(t)$  can be selected to drive the state  $x(t)$  from any given initial condition to any desired final value. This can be done if the input coupling in the system is sufficiently strong, which depends on the input-coupling matrix pair  $(A,B)$ . If a system is not reachable, it can be made so by adding additional control inputs.

There is an easy test for reachability. Indeed, define the REACHABILITY MATRIX

$$U = [B \quad AB \quad A^2B \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}B].$$

Then,  $(A,B)$  is reachable iff  $U$  has full rank  $n$ . Note that  $U$  is an  $n \times nm$  matrix so that it has more columns than rows if  $m > 1$ . Such a matrix is called a flat matrix. (A matrix with more rows than columns is called a sharp matrix.)

If there is only one control input (the single-input (SI) case, where  $m=1$ ), then  $U$  is square. In this case, it is easy to test whether  $U$  has rank  $n$  by making sure the determinant  $|U|$  is nonzero. If  $m > 1$  one must find  $n$  linearly independent columns of  $U$ , which may be difficult particularly if the number of inputs  $m$  is large. In this case, define the REACHABILITY GRAMMIAN

$$G = UU^T$$

which is a square  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the system is reachable iff  $|G| \neq 0$ .

Many design techniques rely on trying to **determine closed-loop properties from open-loop properties**. This is exactly the intent of the reachability test, which allows one to determine in terms of the open-loop matrices  $A$  and  $B$  what can be accomplished in the closed-loop system.

## SVFB Pole Placement and Ackermann's Formula

Note that in the case of SVFB the output  $y(t)$  plays no role. This means that only matrices  $A$  and  $B$  will be important in SVFB. We would like to choose the feedback gain  $K$  so that the closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $\Delta_c(s) = |sI - A_c| = |sI - (A - BK)|$  has prescribed roots. This is called the POLE-PLACEMENT problem. An important theorem says that the poles may be placed arbitrarily as desired iff  $(A,B)$  is reachable.

If the system is reachable, there are many techniques to find a suitable  $K$  that guarantees stability and/or places the poles. One technique that works for the SI case  $m=1$  is ACKERMANN'S FORMULA

$$K = e_n U^{-1} \Delta_D(A),$$

where  $e_n = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 1]$  is the last row of the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $\Delta_D(s)$  is the DESIRED characteristic polynomial. The desired characteristic polynomial evaluated at the plant matrix  $A$  is  $\Delta_D(A)$ , which is a MATRIX POLYNOMIAL.

### Example 1

The angle subsystem of the inverted pendulum is given for some specific values of parameters by

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} u$$

where the state is  $x = [\mathbf{q} \ \dot{\mathbf{q}}]$ . The open-loop poles are given by the roots of

$$\Delta(s) = |sI - A| = \begin{vmatrix} s & -1 \\ -9 & s \end{vmatrix} = s^2 - 9 = (s+3)(s-3),$$

which are  $s=-3, s=3$ . The system is unstable, with natural modes  $e^{-3t}, e^{3t}$ .

The design specifications are to design a SVFB  $K$  that will give a closed-loop POV of 4% with a settling time of  $\tau_s = 1$  sec. This will make the rod of the inverted pendulum balance upright. These specs. allow one to compute the desired closed-loop poles. In fact, since

$$t_s \approx 5t = 5/a$$

$$POV = 100e^{-\pi / \sqrt{1-z^2}}$$

one may find the required real-part and damping ratio of the closed loop poles to be  $-a = -5, z \approx 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.707$ . Thus, the natural frequency is  $w_n = a/z = 7.072$  so that the desired characteristic polynomial is

$$\Delta_D = s^2 + 2\mathbf{a}s + \mathbf{w}_n^2 = s^2 + 10s + 50.$$

To use Ackermann's formula, one first verifies reachability by computing the reachability matrix

$$U = [B \quad AB] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and checking that it is indeed nonsingular. Computing the quantities needed for Ackermann's formula now yields

$$U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta_D(A) = A^2 + 10A + 50I = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 \\ 90 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 50 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 59 & 10 \\ 90 & 59 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substituting now into Ackermann's formula yields the required SVFB of

$$K = e_n U^{-1} \Delta_D(A) = [0 \quad 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 59 & 10 \\ 90 & 59 \end{bmatrix} = [-29.5 \quad -5].$$

This solves the problem.

Note that one may write the P SVFB as

$$u = -Kx + v = -[-29.5 \quad -5]x + v = [k_1 \quad k_2] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}} \end{bmatrix} + v = k_1 \mathbf{q} + k_2 \dot{\mathbf{q}} + v,$$

so that in effect a proportional-plus-derivative control is produced, with the proportional gain given by  $k_1$  and the derivative gain by  $k_2$ .

To check the design, one should compute the actual closed-loop poles using

$$A_c = A - BK = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} [-29.5 \quad -5] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -50 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta_c(s) = |sI - A_c| = \begin{vmatrix} s & -1 \\ 50 & s+10 \end{vmatrix} = s^2 + 10s + 50.$$

This is indeed equal to  $\Delta_D(s)$ .